



DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS (DAA) (A34EC)

By :-VIJAYKUMAR MANTRI, Associate Professor. vijay_mantri.it@bvrit.ac.in











Experiment Design



Implement

















Ellis Horowitz Sartaj Sahni Sanguthevar Rajasekaran









DAA Unit III Greedy Method











Greedy Method:

- General Method
- Applications
 - Job Sequencing with Deadlines
 - Knapsack Problem
 - Minimum Cost Spanning Trees
 - Prim's Algorithm.
 - Kruskal's Algorithm



Single Source Shortest Path Problem.





- Greedy method is the most straightforward designed technique.
- As the name suggest they are short sighted in their approach taking decision on the basis of the information immediately at the hand without worrying about the effect these decision may have in the future.
- Greedy Method : A problem have n inputs and require us to obtain a subset that that satisfy some constraints.
- Any subset that satisfy these constraints is called a Feasible Solution.
- A feasible solution that either maximizes or minimizes a given objective function is called an Optimal Solution.



Greedy Method – General Method



- A Greedy method suggests that one can devise an algorithm that works in stages, considering one input at a time for getting Optimal solution.
- This is done by considering the inputs in an order determined by some selection procedure.
- This version of greedy technique is called Subset Paradigm.
- For problems that do not call for the selection of an optimal subset in the greedy method we made decisions by considering the inputs in some order.
- Each decision is made using Optimization Criteria that can be computed using decisions already made.
- This version of greedy technique is called Ordering Paradigm.







- 1. Algorithm Greedy (a, n)
- 2. //a[1:n] contain the 'n' inputs
- *3.* {
- 4. solution := ϕ ; // Initialize the solution.
- 5. for i := 1 to n do
- *6.* {
- 7. x := Select(a);
- 8. if Feasible(solution, x) then
- 9. solution := Union(solution, x);
- *10.* }
- 11. return solution;
- *12.* }



Greedy Method – Examples



- Cash Denominations Bank Cashier clearing a Cheque of Rs. 123,878/- & giving Cash Notes/Coins to Customer.
- 4 Machine Scheduling Complete given number tasks (with Start & Finish) time on number of Machines.



Knapsack Problem



- $\mathbf{\Psi}$ We are given \boldsymbol{n} objects and an knapsack or bag.
- **4** Object *i* had a weight w_i and knapsack capacity *m*.
- ↓ If a fraction x_i , $0 \le x_i \le 1$, of object *i* placed into knapsack, then profit of $p_i x_i$ is earned.
- The objective is to obtain a filling of knapsack that maximizes the total profit earned.
- As knapsack capacity is m, we require the total weight of all chosen objects to be at most m.
- Formally the problem can be stated as

$$\begin{array}{l} Maximize & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i x_i \\ Subject \ to & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} w_i x_i \leq m \\ and \ 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}$$



Algorithm for Knapsack Problem

- **1.** Algorithm *GreedyKnapsack* (m, n)
- 2. // p[1:n] and w[1:n] contain the profits & weights of
- 3. // the n object ordered such that
- 4. // p[i] / w[i] >= p[i+1] / w[i+1]
- 5. *// m* is the Knapsack size and x[1: n] is solution vector. *6.* {
- 7. for i := 1 to n do x[i] := 0.0; // Initialize x.
- $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} := \boldsymbol{m};$
- 9. for i := 1 to n do
- *10.* {
- 11. if (w[i] > U) then break;
- 12. x[i] := 1.0; U := U w[i]

13. }

14. $if(i \le n)$ then x[i] := U/w[i]; 15. }





Find an optimal solution to the knapsack instance(s).

Wi	Pi		Wi	Pi		Wi	Pi		Wi	Pi
m=750	n=15		m=165	n=10		m=26	n=5		m=190	n=6
70	135		23	92		12	24		56	50
73	139		31	57		7	13		59	50
77	149		29	49		11	23		80	64
80	150		44	68		8	15		64	46
82	156		53	60	(3)	9	16		75	50
87	163		38	43				(5)	17	5
90	173		63	67		m=104	n=8			
94	184		85	84		25	350		m=50	n=7
98	192		89	87		35	400		31	70
106	201		82	72		45	450		10	20
110	210		(2	2)		5	20		20	39
113	214					25	70		19	37
115	221					3	8		4	7
118	229					2	5		3	5
120	240	(1)			(4)	2	5	(6)	6	10

Knapsack Problem – Solution



(1)	m	n = 7:	50				n =	15							
Wi	70	73	77	80	82	87	90	94	98	106	110	113	115	118	120
Pi	135	139	149	150	156	163	173	184	192	201	210	214	221	229	240
Pi/Wi	1.93	1.90	1.94	1.88	1.90	1.87	1.92	1.96	1.96	1.90	1.91	1.89	1.92	1.94	2.00
Xi	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0.72	1	1
Profit Pi*Wi	135	0	149	0	0	0	173	184	192	0	0	0	159	229	240

1) Total Profit = 1461

(2)	n	n = 16	5				n = 1	.0		
Wi	23	31	29	44	38	53	63	85	89	82
Pi	92	57	49	68	43	60	67	84	87	72
Pi/Wi	4.00	1.84	1.69	1.55	1.13	1.13	1.06	0.99	0.98	0.88
Xi	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Profit (Pi*Wi)	92	57	49	68	43	0	0	0	0	0

(3)		m = 26	6	n	= 5
Wi	12	7	11	8	9
Pi	24	13	23	15	16
Pi/Wi	2.00	1.86	2.09	1.88	1.78
Xi	1	0	1	0.38	0
Profit (Pi*Wi)	24	0	23	6	0

2) Total Profit = 309

3) Total Profit = 53

Knapsack Problem – Solution



				i	1	1			
(4)	n	n = 104	4				n =	8	
Wi	25	35	45	5	25	3	2	2	
Pi	350	400	450	20	70	8	5	5	
Pi/Wi	14.00	11.43	10.00	4.00	2.80	2.67	2.50	2.50	
Xi	1	1	0.98	0	0	0	0	0	
Profit Pi*Wi	350	400	441	0	0	0	0	0	
(5)		m = 1	90			n = 6	4) Tota	al Profit	t = 1191
Wi	56	59	80	64	75	5 17			
Pi	50	50	64	46	50) 5	5) Tot	al Drofi	+ - 160
Pi/Wi	0.89	0.85	0.80	0.72	2 0.6	7 0.2	9 3) 101		l = 100

VISHNU

Xi

Profit Pi*Wi

6) Total Profit = 108

(6)		m = 50)		n	= 7	
Wi	31	10	20	19	4	3	6
Pi	70	20	39	37	7	5	10
Pi/Wi	2.26	2.00	1.95	1.95	1.75	1.67	1.67
Xi	1	1	0.45	0	0	0	0
Profit Pi*Wi	70	20	18	0	0	0	0

0.94



Job Sequencing with Deadlines



- **4** We are given a set of n jobs.
- ♣ Associated with job *i* is an integer deadline $d_i \ge 0$ and a profit $p_i > 0$.
- If any job *i* the profit *p_i* is earned iff the job is completed by its deadline.
- To complete a job, one has to process the job on a machine for one unit of time.
- Only one machine is available for processing jobs.
- A feasible solution for this problem is a subset J of jobs such that each job in this subject can be completed by this deadline.
- ↓ The value of feasible solution *J* is the sum of the profits of the jobs in *J* i.e. $\sum_{i \in J} p_i$
- An optimal solution is a feasible solution with maximum value.
- Since the problem involves the identification of a subset, it fits the Subset Paradigm.

4	Example 1. n	$p_{1} = 5 \ (p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}) = (20, 15)$	5, 10, 5, 1)
		$(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5) = (2, 2, 1,$	3,3)
4	Feasible solution	on Processing Sequence	Value
1.	(1)	1	20
2.	(2)	2	15
3.	(3)	3	10
4.	(4)	4	5
5.	(5)	5	1
6.	(1, 2)	1, 2 or 2, 1	35
7.	(1,3)	3, 1	30
8.	(1, 4)	1, 4 or 4, 1	25
9.	(1, 5)	1, 5 or 5, 1	21
10	. (2,3)	3, 2	25
11	. (2, 4)	2, 4 or 4, 2	20
12	. (2,5)	2, 5 or 5, 2	16
13	. (1, 2, 4)	1, 2, 4	40
14	. (1, 3, 5)	3, 1, 5	31
4	The Solution 13	3 is optimal	

L

... form.

Jechnology

4	Example 2.	<i>n</i> = 4	$(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = (100, 10, 13)$	5,27)
			$(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) = (2, 1, 2, 1)$	
4	Feasible solu	ition	Processing Sequence	Value
1.	(1, 2)		2, 1	110
2.	(1, 3)		1, 3 or 3, 1	115
3.	(1, 4)		4, 1	127
4.	(2,3)		2, 3	25
5.	(3, 4)		4, 3	42
6.	(1)		1	100
7.	(2)		2	10
8.	(3)		3	15
9.	(4)		4	27

.form

Jechnology







- 1. Algorithm JS(d, J, n)
- **2.** $// d[i] \ge 1, 1 \le i \le n$ are the deadlines, $n \ge 1$.
- 3. *If The job are ordered such that* $p[1] \ge p[2] \dots \ge p[n]$
- 4. // J[i] is the ith job in the optimal solution, $1 \le i \le k$.
- 5. *II Also at termination* $d[J[i]] \leq d[J[i+1]], 1 \leq i < k$.

6. {

- 7. d[0] := J[0] := 0; // Initialize
- 8. J[1] = 1; // Include job 1
- 9. $k \coloneqq 1;$
- 10. for $i \approx 2 \text{ to } n \text{ do}$

11. {

- **12.** *Il Consider jobs in non increasing order of P[i]; Find*
- **13.** *If the position for i and check feasibility of insertion 14.* $r \coloneqq k$;



Job Sequencing with Deadlines



- 15. while $((d[J[r]] > d[i]) and (d[J[r]] \neq r)) do r := r 1;$
- 16. if $((d[J[r]] \le d[i]) and (d[i] > r))$ then
- *17.* {
- 18. // Insert I into J[]
- *19.* for $q \coloneqq k$ to (r + 1) step -1 do $J[q + 1] \coloneqq J[q]$;
- 20. $J[r+1] \coloneqq i;$
- *21.* $k \coloneqq k + 1;$
- *22.* }
- *23.* }
- *24. return k*;
- *25.* }



High Level description of Job Sequencing algorithm



- 1. Algorithm GreedyJob(d, J, n)
- **2.** *II J is a set of jobs that can be completed by thier*
- **3.** *II deadlines*
- 4. {
- 5. $J \coloneqq \{1\};$
- $6. \quad for \ i \ \coloneqq 2 \ to \ n \ do$
- 7.
- 8. if (all jobs in $J \cup \{i\}$ can be completed by their
- 9. deadlines) then
- **10.** $J:=J \cup \{i\}$
- 11. }
- 12. }

↓ Let's revisit example 1 to know how Optimal Solution is found. n = 5 $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5) = (20, 15, 10, 5, 1)$ $(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5) = (2, 2, 1, 3, 3)$

The array P is sorted as per Profits, lets see how it works as per algorithm we seen.

J	Assigned Slots	Job Considered	Action	Profit
${\pmb \Phi}$	none	1 /	Assign to [1, 2]	0
{1}	[1, 2]	2	Assign to [0, 1]	20
{1, 2}	[0, 1], [1, 2]	3 (Cannot fit, reject	35
{1, 2}	[0, 1], [1, 2]	4	Assign to [2, 3]	35
{1, 2, 4}	{ [0, 1], [1, 2],	[2, 3] 5 I	Reject	40

4 The Optimal Solution is $J = \{1, 2, 4\}$ with a profit of 40.







Example 3 : Find Feasible solutions & Optimal Solution for given jobs with deadlines for n = 7

$$(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7) = (3, 5, 20, 18, 1, 6, 30)$$

 $(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7) = (1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1, 2)$

- Also show the Optimal Solution generated by function (algorithm) JS. (Hint : Answer is J6, J7, J4 & J3)
- **4** Example 4 : Find Feasible solutions & Optimal Solution for given jobs with deadlines for n = 7

 $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7) = (3, 5, 20, 18, 1, 6, 30)$

 $(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7) = (1, 2, 4, 2, 2, 1, 2)$

Also show the Optimal Solution generated by function (algorithm) JS. (Hint : Be careful with deadlines)



Minimum-Cost Spanning Trees



- Let G = (V, E) be an undirected connected graph with vertices 'V' and edges 'E'.
- A sub-graph $\mathbf{T} = (V, E')$ of the *G* is a Spanning tree of *G* iff '*T*' is a tree.



- The figure shows the Complete Graph with 4 Nodes and three spanning trees of the same.
- The spanning trees have many applications like Analysis of Electrical Circuits, Shortest route problems, etc.



Minimum-Cost Spanning Trees



- ↓ The problem is to generate a minimal subgraph G' of G such that G' = (V, E') where E' is the subset of E, & G' is a Minimal Subgraph (minimum spanning tree).
- **4** A minimal subgraph is one with the fewest number of edges.
- Any connected graph with n vertices must have at least n 1edges and all connected graphs with n - 1 edges are trees.
- The spanning trees of G represent all feasible choices
- Each and every edge will contain the given non-negative length.
- Connect all the nodes with edge present in set E' and weight has to be minimum i.e. we are interested in finding a spanning tree of G with minimum cost.
- The cost of a spanning tree is the sum of the costs of the edges in that tree.



The figure shows a Graph & its minimum-cost spanning tree.

There are 2 method to determine a minimum-cost spanning tree namely Kruskal's Algorithm and Prim's Algorithm.



Kruskal's Algorithm



- In Kruskal's algorithm the selection function chooses edges in increasing order of length without worrying too much about their connection to previously chosen edges, except that never to form a cycle.
- The result is a forest of trees that grows until all the trees in a forest (all the components) merge in a single tree.
- In this algorithm, a minimum cost-spanning tree 'T' is built edge by edge.
- Edge are considered for inclusion in 'T' in increasing order of their cost.
- An edge is included in 'T' if it doesn't form a cycle with edge already in T.
- To find the minimum-cost spanning tree the edge are inserted to tree in increasing order of their cost.



Kruskal's Algorithm



- 1. Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with weights assigned to each edge.
- 2. Select any edge of minimum value of G. This is the first edge of minimal spanning tree T.
- 3. Select any edge (v, w) of *E* from remaining edges of *G* having minimum value, which will not form a closed path with the edges already included in *T*.
- 4. Step 3 is repeated until T contains n 1 edges where n is number of vertices of G.
- 5. Now the tree *T* becomes Minimal-Cost Spanning Tree of *G*.





Kruskal's Algorithm – Early form of Minimum-Cost Spanning Tree algorithm

- *1.* $t \coloneqq \emptyset$;
- 2. while $((t has less than n 1 edges) and (E \neq \emptyset))do$
- *3.* {
- 4. Choose an edge (u, w) from E of lowest cost;
- **5. Delete** (**u**, **w**) **from E**;
- 6. if (u, w) does not create a cycle in t then add (u, w) to t;
- 7. else discard (u, w)
- *8.* }





echnolo,





Prim's Algorithm



- Let G be a connected graph with weights assigned to each edge.
- 4 In Prim's algorithm, we start from an arbitrary vertex (root).
- At each stage, add a new branch (edge) to the tree already constructed; the algorithm halts when all the vertices in the graph have been reached.
- The Prims algorithm will start with a tree that includes only a minimum cost edge of G.
- Then, edges are added to the tree one by one.
- The next edge (*i*, *j*) to be added in such that *i* is a vertex included in the tree, *j* is a vertex not yet included, and cost of (*i*, *j*), *cost*[*i*, *j*] is minimum among all the edges.



Prim's Algorithm



- 1. Let *G* be a connected graph with weights assigned to each edge.
- 2. First let *T* be the minimal spanning tree consists of any vertex *V* of *G*.
- 3. Among all edges not in *T*, which are incident on a vertex (neighborhood of vertex) in *T*, and not forming a closed path when added to *T*, select the minimum cost edge and add it to *T*.
- 4. The step 3 is repeated until we select n 1 edges which covers n vertices in G resulting in Minimal-Cost Spanning Tree T.
- 5. Now the tree *T* becomes Minimal-Cost Spanning Tree of *G*.













